

REMARKS

Summary of the Office Action

The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) for allegedly not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

The specification is objected to for allegedly failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

The Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the indication that claims 1-16 are allowed.

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicant has amended the specification, and submits herewith six (6) new drawing figures in a Submission of Replacement Drawings. Applicant has not amended any of allowed claims 1-16.

Objection to the Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) for allegedly not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Specifically, the features of claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 11 and 13 are allegedly not shown in the drawings. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits herewith six new drawing figures in a Submission of Replacement Drawings showing features recited by claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 11, and 13.

Applicant respectfully submits that new FIGs. 7, 8, and 9 show the features recited by claim 4 and 5, new FIG. 1 shows the features recited by claim 9, new FIG. 11 shows the features recited by claim 11, and new FIG. 12 shows the features recited by claim 13. In addition,

Applicant respectfully submits that new FIGs. 7-12 show the features recited by claim 1.

Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that all the features of the claims are shown in the drawings, and respectfully requests that the objection to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) be withdrawn.

Objection to the Specification

The specification is objected to for allegedly failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. Applicant has amended the specification to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to the specification be withdrawn.

Request For Interview

In the event the present Response does not place the application in condition for allowance, or there are any outstanding issues, Applicant's undersigned Representative respectfully requests a personal interview to discuss any unresolved matters in order to expedite prosecution of the application.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. As requested above, should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the re-filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By:  _____

David B. Hardy
Reg. No. 47,362

Dated: December 5, 2003

CUSTOMER NO. 009629
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
202.739.3000